

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,438	08/05/2003	Richard Ben Errera	250311ErreraEnhRoller	8284
<sup>4988</sup> ALFRED M. V	7590 07/20/200° VALKER	EXAMINER		
225 OLD COUNTRY ROAD MELVILLE, NY 11747-2712			ALEXANDER, REGINALD	
MEL VILLE, N	11 11/4/-2/12		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1761	
		•		
·		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/634,438	ERRERA, RICHARD BEN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Reginald L. Alexander	1761			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		h the correspondence address			
Period f						
WHI - Exte afte - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPICE CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reput will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> .	<u>June 2007</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,9-13,15-17,19-23 and 25-28</u> is	s/are pending in the application	on.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>25-27</u> is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,9-13,15-17,19-23 and 28</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	(				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers		•			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)区	The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2006 is	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* ' '	•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
а	)					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	-				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	eceived in this National Stage			
*	application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ranaiyad			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not i	eceiveu.			
Attachme	nt(s)	. <u>_</u>				
· —	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Info	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) there No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/634,438

Art Unit: 1761

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 9-13, 15, 17 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swapp in view of Oh.

There is disclosed in Swapp an embossing roller comprising: a cover/handle assembly 7, 9, 65 with multiple hand placement possibilities, the cover/handle further comprising an attachment 8 for an embossing wheel, the embossing wheel comprising a cylinder 2, the cover/handle member draping over the embossing wheel (when so positioned by the user) and attaching to the embossing wheel at a center-rotating axis 55, 56 of the wheel, the embossing wheel comprising an embossing pattern 28, 29 oriented on a rolling surface of the wheel, the embossing pattern assembly able to be changed for different embossing patterns and is height adjustable, the cover/handle further comprises an attachment 33 for a coloring device 30, wherein the roller further comprises an orienting mark 61 that indicates a starting point of the embossing pattern.

Oh teaches that it is known in the art to have a cover/handle assembly wherein the cover encloses a top and portions of the sides of a printing wheel, and has a handle which extends in a direction parallel to a direction of movement of the wheel.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,438

**Art Unit: 1761** 

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the cover/handle assembly of Swapp with that taught in Oh, so as to provide a more comfortable grip and contain any splashing of ink during use.

In regards to the use of the device with a food product, such is intended use and provides no structural limitations to the claims.

In regards to claim 22, the method at which the embossing pattern is placed upon the wheel is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself.

Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

Claims 7, 16 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swapp in view of Oh as applied to claims above, and further in view of Kuzyk.

Kuzyk discloses a cutting blade along with a roller device. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Swapp, as modified by Oh, with the cutting blade of Kuzyk, in order to cut the surface which is being embossed.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swapp in view of Kordic et al.

Swapp, as discussed above, discloses all of the claimed subject matter except a food product having a surface capable of being incused with a three dimensional pattern.

Kordic discloses a pizza dough 33 having a three dimensional pattern thereon and a device 10 for creating the pattern.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,438

Art Unit: 1761

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Swapp with the food product (dough) disclosed in Kordic, for the purpose of having something to imprint images thereon.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blaul in view of Swapp.

Blaul discloses a roller assembly for imprinting a pattern on a food product (dough), the assembly including a wheel (roller) and embossing pattern oriented thereon, the pattern being able to be changed, and a dough.

Swapp, as discussed above, discloses all of the structural limitations of the roller assembly.

It would have been obvious to substitute the roller assembly of Blaul with that taught in Swapp, for the purpose of providing an alternative means for imprinting on the dough.

Claim 28 merely recites a food product along with elements of a device which can be used with the food product, there is nothing limiting in the claim which would require use of the device only with food products.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 25-27 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,438 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla 19 July 2007 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761